



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,724	03/15/2004	Hansjorg Ander	3868-0156P	2073
2292 7590 02/04/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				
EXAMINER DESAL, ANISH P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1794				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/04/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

1. **Continuation of Box 3:**

2. It is noted that applicant has deleted claim limitation of "di, tri, and higher (meth)acrylates" from independent claim after the prosecution on the merits is closed.

This broadens the scope of the invention which requires further search and/or consideration. Accordingly, the amendment is not entered into consideration.

3. Further, with respect to claim 43, while the Examiner appreciates applicant's effort to overcome the 112-first rejection to this claim as set forth in the previous Office action by incorporating the language of "hollow" bodies, however the Examiner submits that said claim language continues to raise issue of new matter given that while there is support to recite "abhesive hollow bodies" (see paragraph 0011 of the US PG Pub of this application), there is no support to broadly recite "hollow bodies". Accordingly, the amendment is not entered into consideration. Inserting "abhesive" before "hollow bodies" in claim 43 will overcome the 112-first rejection.

4. **Continuation of Box 11:**

5. As to applicant's arguments that the PSA of Bonk et al. requires a presence of crosslinking agent whereas the polymerizable mass (adhesive) of the present invention does not require the presence of any crosslinking agents such as polyfunctional acrylate monomers, the Examiner submits following:

6. It is noted that applicant's claim is open to the presence of crosslinking agents (see claim 33). Accordingly, applicant's arguments are not found persuasive.

Art Unit: 1794

7. If the amendment were to be entered into the consideration, the 112 first paragraph rejections against claim 42 and the 112 second paragraph rejections would have been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment.

/A. D./

Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Callie E. Shosho/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794